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1 Introduction

Three dimensional realistic simulation are often unsteady and include moving domains. One of major challenges associated with such simulations is the robust mesh generation for the moving domain. There has been significant research and development in this specific field[1, 2, 3, 4]. We describe a method for generating conforming tetrahedral mesh to the given $C^2$ continuous surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ immersed in a non-conforming tetrahedralization. The method consists of constructing a homeomorphic mapping from a subset of tetrahedrons in a background mesh to the ones conforming to the immersed set of surfaces. It relies on the way we parametrize the surfaces of the immersed domains over a collection of a nearby triangular faces with their closest point projections and extension of the same map for the local perturbations of the vertices in the neighborhood these surfaces.

1.1 Universal Meshes

In order to guarantee existence of such a mesh without changing the connectivity of the background mesh, we need to impose restrictions on the background mesh. These restrictions define a family of surfaces for which a conforming tetrahedral mesh can be generated from the background mesh. We say that the background mesh is a universal mesh for such a family of surfaces. The notion of universal meshes is particularly useful in large deformation, fluid solid interaction problems and in numerical schemes that require iterating over the geometry of domains. The same background mesh can serve as the universal mesh for the evolving domains. With no conformity requirements, the universal mesh can be adopted to tetrahedralize large family of domains ($C^2$ regular) immersed in it, including ones realized over several updates during the course of simulation. This presents a significant algorithmic advantage
for such simulations since this avoids the re-meshing and hosts of issues associated with it. We have presented a way to parameterize the set of $C^2$ regular surfaces by using the fixed background mesh as a universal mesh in [5].

2 Background Mesh to Conforming Meshes

We consider the problem of generating a conforming mesh using a subset of tetrahedrons from a background mesh $T_h$ where the selected faces of tetrahedrons conform onto the boundary of a $C^2$-regular bounded open domain $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^3$ while maintaining the connectivity of $T_h$.

2.1 Definitions

A mesh of tetrahedra in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is a collection of tetrahedra such that
- each tetrahedron in $T \in T_h$ is a non-empty set,
- if $T_1$ and $T_2$ are distinct tetrahedra in $T_h$, then $T_1 \cap T_2$ is either empty, a common face, a common edge, or a common vertex (empty, a common edge, or a common vertex).

The background mesh $T_h$ is a mesh of tetrahedra such that $\partial \Omega$ is immersed in $T_h$: $\partial \Omega = \bigcup_{T \in T_h} T \cap \partial \Omega$. A curved triangle $\tilde{K}$ is a subset of $\mathbb{R}^3$ homeomorphic to a triangle. A mesh of curved triangles $\tilde{K}_h$ is a collection of curved triangles defined by a mesh of triangles $K_h$ and a map $M_h: \Gamma_h \to \mathbb{R}^3$, with $\Gamma_h = \bigcup_{K \in K_h} K$, so that $M_h: \Gamma_h \to M_h(\Gamma_h)$ is a homeomorphism. Each curved triangle $\tilde{K} \in \tilde{K}_h$ is the image under $M_h$ of a triangle $K \in K_h$, namely, $\tilde{K} = M_h(K)$. See Fig. 1.

A mesh of curved triangles over $\Gamma$ is a mesh of curved triangles for which $M_h(\Gamma_h) = \Gamma$. We shall alternatively refer to a mesh of curved triangles over $\Gamma$ as a triangulation of $\Gamma$.

We indicate an orientation for $\Gamma$ with the function

$$s(x) := \begin{cases} 
-1 & \text{if } x \in \Omega; \\
+1 & \text{otherwise.} 
\end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Finally, the closest point projection onto $\Gamma$, $\pi: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \Gamma$, is defined as $\pi(x) := \arg \min_{y \in \Gamma} d(x, y)$. Here $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the Euclidean distance in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Because $\Gamma$ is a $C^2$-regular boundary, $\pi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \Gamma)$.

No conformity is assumed between $T_h$ and $\Gamma$. We next define a mapping $M_h$ and a set $K_h$ of faces of tetrahedra in $T_h$ that, under suitable conditions (See [6]), yield a mesh of curved triangles over $\Gamma$ by deforming the faces in $K_h$ onto $\Gamma$ and then extend the same map to generate the conforming tetrahedral mesh $T_h^c$. 

2.2 Positively cut tetrahedrons and Surface Parameterization

We introduce the terminology of the positively cut tetrahedrons by $\Gamma$. We say that a tetrahedron in $\mathcal{T}_h$ is positively cut by $\Gamma$ if $s = +1$ at three of the four vertices of the tetrahedron and $s = -1$ at one vertex. We call the face shared by the vertices having $s = +1$ in the positively cut tetrahedron, a positive face, $K_h$, with respect to $\Gamma$. The union of positive faces in $\mathcal{T}_h$ is denoted by $\Gamma_h$. As the map to construct the mesh of curved triangles over $\Gamma$ we set $M_h = \pi|\Gamma_h$, namely, $M_h$ is the restriction to $\Gamma_h$ of the closest point projection onto $\Gamma$. $M_h$ is homeomorphism over any $K_h \in \Gamma_h$ for sufficiently refined and acute type of tetrahedrons (Theorem proved in [6]). Hence $M_h(\Gamma_h)$ defines a curved triangles mesh of $\Gamma$, which is also a parameterization of $\Gamma$.

Fig. 1: The figure on the left shows a tetrahedron positively cut by $\Gamma$. The arrows shows the corresponding the closest point projection of the positive vertices. The figure in the middle shows the projection of the positive vertices via $M_h$ as well as the corresponding $T \in \mathcal{T}_h^c$ after the mapping. The one on the right shows the corresponding curved triangle exactly conforming to the surface and approximating triangle in blue and black color respectively.

2.3 Description of the Meshing Algorithm

Let $\mathcal{T}_h^{\text{sub}}$ be the collection the tetrahedrons in $\mathcal{T}_h$ such that at least one of the vertices of these tetrahedrons belong in $B(\Gamma, R_r) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : d(x, \Gamma) < R_r \& s(x) = -1\}$. Here $R_r$ is equal to a few multiple of the mesh size $h$. The meshing algorithm consists of transforming $\mathcal{T}_h^{\text{sub}}$ to $\mathcal{T}_h^c$ and is succinctly summarized as the mapping $M_h$ defined over vertices in $\mathcal{T}_h^{\text{sub}}$ as

$$M_h(x) = x - f_h(x)n(\pi(x))$$

Here, $f_h(x)$ is defined using the parameters $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and $R_r > h$ as follows:

$$f_h(x) = \begin{cases} 
\phi(x), & \text{if } x \in \Gamma_h, \\
\alpha h \max\{0, 1 + \frac{\phi(x)}{R_r}\}, & \text{if } x \in \Omega.
\end{cases}$$

(3)
Parameter $\alpha$ is chosen such that $(1 + \frac{h}{R_R})^{-1} \leq \alpha \leq 1$. It is clear that the mapping, $M_h$, perturbs the vertices along the direction of the local normal to $\Gamma$ by a distance modulated by $f_h$ (See (2), (3)). The action of $M_h$ on positive faces is: $x \in \Gamma_h \implies f_h(x) = \phi(x) \implies M_h(x) = \pi(x)$. Where the last equality holds when $\Gamma$ is sufficiently smooth and $x$ lies close to it. In order to accommodate the snapping of vertices of positive faces on to $\Gamma$, vertices in the neighborhood $B(\Gamma, R_r)$ are relaxed with respect to $\Gamma$ based on their distance from $\Gamma$. The vertices in $\mathcal{T}_h$ farther than distance $R_r$ from $\Gamma$ are not perturbed: Since $R_r$ is chosen to be a few multiples of the mesh size, (3) indeed shows that $M_h$ is a local perturbation of vertices near $\Gamma$. It is also a small perturbation because the distance by which vertices are perturbed is dictated by $f_h$ and $|f_h| < \alpha h$.

The form of $f_h$ in (3) is particularly suitable for relaxing vertices in background meshes that have uniformly sized tetrahedrons near the boundary. It leaves much to be desired notice that $f_h$ is in fact discontinuous at $\Gamma_h$. This is not a problem as we only need control over the magnitude of $f_h$ and its difference quotient over vertices near $\Gamma$. It would be important to note that it is assumed that the mapping $M_h$ is homeomorphism. We are working towards showing the local homeomorphism of the mapping for any tetrahedra $T \in \mathcal{T}_h^{\text{sub}}$. There is certainly room to improve the choice of $f_h$, especially to relax vertices by distances as well as choice of directions that lead to overall increase in the quality of the mesh.
3 Examples and Future Direction

We immerse a high genus arbitrarily non-convex $C^2$ continuous surface in the background tetrahedralization and allow the surface to go through vast topological changes. Fig. 2 show the generated conforming tetrahedral meshes. We intend to thoroughly explore the restrictions required to be imposed on the background tetrahedralization $T_h$ in order to guarantee the construction of a homeomorphic mapping $M_h$ that leads to a conforming mesh $T_h^c$. We plan to pursue this problem in the similar manner as done by Rangaranjan and Lew [1] for universal meshes in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with non-conforming triangulations. We are working towards an efficient implementation of this algorithm over multiple processors which takes advantage of the explicit mapping (2), a preliminary example is shown in figure 3.
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